APPROVED AND DISAPPROVED NON-STATUTORY DEPARTURE REASONS CITED BY SENTENCING COURTS CHART

Departure Reason Cited	Approved or Disapproved	Type of Departure	<u>Case Name</u>
Offender absconded for 2 years while on bond, not amenable to probation.	Approved	Upward dispositional	State v. Billington, 24 Kan. App. 2d 759, 953 P.2d 1059 (1998)
Offender had history of drug convictions that demonstrated his repeated involvement in drugs.	Approved	Upward durational	State v. Hernandez, 24 Kan. App. 2d 285, 944 P.2d 188 (1997), rev. denied 263 Kan. 888 (1997)
Child victim of crime was sexual aggressor.	Approved	Downward durational	State v. Rush, 24 Kan. App. 2d 113, 942 P.2d 55 (1997), rev. denied 262 Kan. 968 (1997)
Total senseless and random nature of shooting of victim.	Approved	Upward durational	State v. Alderson, 260 Kan. 445, 922 P.2d 435 (1996). [See also State v. Alderson, 266 Kan. 603, 972 P.2d 1112 (1999)]
No prior felony convictions.	Approved	Downward durational and dispositional	State v. Favela, 259 Kan. 215, 911 P.2d 792 (1996) [See also State v. Murphy, 270 Kan. 804, 19 P.3d 80, (2001)]
Brother of offender was stabbed and injured by offender's victim.	Approved	Downward durational and dispositional	State v. Favela

Departure Reason Cited	Approved or Disapproved	Type of Departure	Case Name
Offender's age and immaturity.	Approved	Downward durational and dispositional	State v. Favela [See also State v. Murphy]
Offender failed to render aid to victim.	Approved	Upward durational and dispositional	State v. Hunter, 22 Kan. App. 2d 103, 911 P.2d 1121 (1996), rev. denied 259 Kan. 929 (1996)
Offender's age and immaturity made her less likely to commit offenses in the future.	Approved - when combined with other factors of the case.	Downward dispositional	State v. Crawford, 21 Kan. App. 2d 859, 908 P.2d 638 (1995)
Offender's family responsibilities of raising three children.	Approved - when combined with other factors of the case.	Downward dispositional	State v. Crawford
Impressive employment record.	Approved - when combined with other factors of the case.	Downward dispositional	State v. Crawford [See also State v. Murphy]
Rehabilitation efforts.	Approved - when combined with other factors of the case.	Downward dispositional	State v. Crawford
Uncharged criminal conduct which amounted to a continuing pattern of abuse.	Approved - to improve public safety, which is one of the purposes of the Guidelines.	Upward durational	State v. Zuck, 21 Kan. App. 2d 597, 904 P.2d 1005 (1995), rev. denied 258 Kan. 863 (1995)
Age of prior conviction.	Approved	Downward dispositional	State v. Richardson, 20 Kan. App. 2d 932, 901 P.2d 1 (1995)
Prior conviction unrelated to current offense.	Approved	Downward dispositional	State v. Richardson [See also State v. Heath, 21 Kan. App. 2d 410, 901 P.2d 29 (1995)]

Departure Reason Cited	Approved or Disapproved	Type of Departure	Case Name
Statement of victim's family in favor of a nonprison sentence.	Approved	Downward dispositional	State v. Richardson [See also State v. Heath]
Offender not a threat to society and had gone to the victim's house out of concern.	Approved	Downward dispositional	State v. Grady, 258 Kan. 72, 900 P.2d 227 (1995)
Defense failed but was not meritless.	Approved - if it shows no predisposition to commit crimes.	Downward dispositional	State v. Grady, 258 Kan. 72, 900 P.2d 227 (1995)
Offender committed many burglaries while on parole and thus not amenable to probation supervision.	Approved	Upward dispositional (Meyer involved either a upward dispositional or upward durational)	State v. Trimble, 21 Kan. App. 2d 32, 894 P.2d 920 (1995) [See also State v. Meyer, 25 Kan. App. 2d 195, 960 P.2d 261 (1998), rev. denied 265 Kan. 888]
Offender knowingly lied in an affidavit to the trial court.	Approved	Upward durational	State v. Mitchell, 262 Kan. 434, 939 P.2d 879 (1997)
Minor female victim's aggressiveness and actions leading up to act of sexual intercourse may be considered at sentencing.	Approved - under the facts of this case.	Downward durational	State v. Sampsel, 268 Kan. 264, 997 P.2d 664 (2000)
Criminal offender's veracity, or the lack thereof, when the offender testifies on his/her own behalf.	Approved	Upward durational	State v. Smart, 26 Kan. App. 2d 808, 995 P.2d 407 (1999)

Departure Reason Cited	Approved or Disapproved	Type of Departure	Case Name
A murder while operating a crack house created danger to society as a whole.	Disapproved - insufficient evidence to support the finding in this case.	Upward durational	State v. Bailey
Victim of sex crime vulnerable because of young age (7 years).	Disapproved - age of the victim is a statutory element of the offense.	Upward durational	State v. Salcido- Corral, 262 Kan. 392, 940 P.2d 11 (1997)
Offender on parole at time committed crimes.	Disapproved - statute addresses how parole status affects sentence, so offender's parole status alone is not a reason to depart.	Upward durational	State v. Hawes, 22 Kan. App. 2d 837, 923 P.2d 1064 (1996) [See also State v. Yardley, 267 Kan. 37, 978 P.2d 886 (1999)]
Uncharged prior conduct unrelated to instant offense.	Disapproved - violates the presumption of innocence.	Upward durational	State v. Hawes
Prior excessive nonperson felonies.	Disapproved - shows only persistent criminal conduct.	Upward durational	State v. Hawes
Finding that prior property crimes were committed to facilitate drug use.	Disapproved - finding was unsubstantiated in the record.	Upward durational	State v. Hawes
Sentencing court's general disagreement with the guidelines and classification of offense under the guidelines.	Disapproved	Downward dispositional	State v. Heath, 21 Kan. App. 2d 410, 901 P.2d 29 (1995)
Possibility that offender's actions could have resulted in death.	Disapproved - not unique to the circumstances of the offender's case.	Upward durational	State v. Caldwell, 21 Kan. App. 2d 466, 901 P.2d 35 1995), rev. denied 258 Kan. 859 (1995)

Departure Reason Cited	Approved or Disapproved	Type of Departure	Case Name
Offender's failure to take advantage of prior lenience by the court.	Disapproved - court stated conclusion without finding underlying facts.	Upward durational	State v. Caldwell
Escalating nature of crimes.	Disapproved - court stated conclusion without finding underlying facts.	Upward durational	State v. Caldwell
Legislature's intent to punish one crime more severely than another.	Disapproved - severity level and criminal history are already factored into the guidelines.	Upward durational	State v. Caldwell
Expert testimony.	Disapproved - expert testimony that excludes consideration of the crime committed should not as a matter of law, be relied upon by the sentencing court in a departure sentence.	Downward dispositional	State v. Chrisco, 26 Kan. App. 2d 816, 995 P.2d 401 (1999)
Lack of premeditation.	Disapproved - the lack of premeditation is common to all voluntary manslaughter crimes.	Downward dispositional	State v. Grady, 258 Kan. 72, 900 P.2d 227 (1995)
Offender had "shown a pattern of exploiting young girls."	Disapproved - finding was not sufficiently substantiated in the record.	Upward dispositional	State v. French, 26 Kan. App. 2d 24, 977 P.2d 281 (1999) [See also State v. Peterson, 25 Kan. App. 2d 354, 964 P.2d 695, (1998), rev. denied 266 Kan. 1114 (1998)]

Departure Reason Cited	Approved or Disapproved	Type of Departure	<u>Case Name</u>
Nonamenability to probation based on the offender's disregard of previous court orders.	Approved - although four nonstatutory reasons were also relied upon by the sentencing court, nonamenability to probation was sufficient by itself.	Upward dispositional	State v. Rodriquez, 269 Kan. 633, 8 P.3d 712 (2000)
Offender's attitude towards the seriousness of the offense and the intentional missing of a court date when the offender absconded.	Disapproved - no substantial and compelling reasons to support a dispositional departure for nonstatutory reasons.	Upward dispositional	State v. McKay, 28 Kan. App. 2d 185, 12 P.3d 924 (2000) [See also State v. McKay, 271 Kan. 725, 26 P.3d 58, (2001)]
Offender had nothing to gain from the incident.	Approved - nonstatutory factors may be relied upon if they are supported by the evidence.	Downward dispositional	State v. Murphy, 270 Kan. 804, 19 P.3d 80 (2001)
Offender did not instigate the incident.	Approved - see above.	Downward dispositional	State v. Murphy
Offender had already been accepted into Labette.	Approved - see above.	Downward dispositional	State v. Murphy
Offender had a supportive family.	Approved - see above.	Downward dispositional	State v. Murphy

Departure Reason	Approved or	Type of Departure	Case Name
Cited	Disapproved	Type of Departure	<u>Cuse I turite</u>
0.00 1 1 1 41	1 C	TT 11' '4' 1	G M:
Offender's role as the	Approved – Case	Upward dispositional	State v. Martin,
ring leader in a	reversed on other		Kan. App. 2d , 87P.3d 337
identity theft ring	grounds.		${(5/16/03)}$
			(3/10/03)
Offender owed a	Disapproved	Upward durational	State v. Matthews,
fiduciary duty to his			32 Kan. App. 2d
clients			281, P.3d
			(2004)
The court found the	Disapproved	Upward dispositional	State v. Neri,
victims of the		P W W P W P W P W W P W W W W W W W W W W	Kan. App. 2d,
forgeries to be the			P.3d
children in the			(No. 91, 020, filed
baseball program and			8/13/2004)
that the children,			
because of their ages,			
were particularly			
vulnerable to the			
offender's actions			
Additional Departure			
Case Information:			
On remand for			State v. Peterson,
resentencing, a			25 Kan. App. 2d
sentencing court may			354, 964 P.2d 695,
state the "substantial			(1998), rev. denied
and compelling			266 Kan. 1114
reasons" justifying a			(1998)
departure, even if the			
reasons were not			
stated at the original			
sentencing.			